RELC Journal 45(2)

data / using inquiry at: http://instep.net. lata/Model-I-Model-

id mainland Chinese felbourne, Australia. Benjamin Mitchell's sestudylearningmodbetween Partners in isiness Council (Vic.

ers from China's 3rd ages Research Press,

La Trobe University,

m saying it that way?

ective. Management

ionary of Qualitative

g Organization. New

experience of China-

Article



Can We Increase the Power of Reading by Adding Communicative Output Activities? A Comment on Song and Sardegna (2014)

RELC Journal
2014, Vol. 45(2) 211-212
© The Author(s) 2014
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/0033689214539866
rel.sagepub.com

SSAGE

Stephen Krashen

University of Southern California (Emeritus), Los Angeles, USA

Beniko Mason

Shitennoji University Junior College, Osaka, Japan

Kenneth Smith

Wenzao Ursuline University of Languages, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

Song and Sardegna (2014) introduce their study by saying, 'To the best of our knowledge, no study to date has investigated the incidental acquisition of specific grammatical items through extensive reading with communicative output activities. This study aims to fill this gap in our knowledge base' (2014: 69). Song and Sardegna claim to have achieved their aim, providing us with evidence that 'suggests' that 'enhanced extensive reading,' defined as extensive reading supplemented with oral activities, '... can contribute to incidental acquisition of English prepositions' (2014: 67).

Their subjects were intermediate level high school students of EFL in Korea and the study lasted one semester. Their enhanced extensive reading group did indeed make better gains on a test of English prepositions than a traditionally taught group. Neither group received any direct instruction on English prepositions. But this does not provide evidence that output practice 'enhanced' the results. To show this, a third group would be necessary, one that did reading only, without output practice. Song and Sardegna's

Corresponding author:

Stephen Krashen, University of Southern California (Emeritus), 29201 Heathercliff Road No 112, Malibu, Los Angeles, CA 90265, USA. Email: skrashen@yahoo.com

results are consistent with the claim that output helped, but are also consistent with the claim that extensive reading alone was responsible for the gains, that 'enhancement' was not necessary.

As Song and Sardegna note, studies have demonstrated that reading alone is helpful. In addition to the references Song and Sardegna supply, reviews of studies (see, for example, Krashen, 2004, 2011) show that reading contributes to the development of reading ability, writing ability, vocabulary, spelling and several aspects of grammatical competence. Reading alone is not, as Song and Sardegna claim, 'slow, unpredictable, and haphazard' (2014: 68): studies of sustained silent reading show that it is more efficient than traditional instruction, yielding greater gains in the same amount of time (Krashen, 2004, 2011; Mason, 2004) and studies show that adding supplementary writing does not increase the power of reading (Mason, 2004, Smith, 2006). In addition, we must point out that Song and Sardegna used a very small sample (n=12 for each group), did not include reliability data for their test, and, as they noted, the enhanced group had far more exposure to English. This latter problem is not serious, however, as the enhanced group gained far more per hour of instruction; it was, in other words, more 'efficient.'

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

Krashen S (2004) The Power of Reading. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann, and Westport, CT: Libraries Unlimited (2nd edn).

Krashen S (2011) Free Voluntary Reading. Westport, CT: Libraries Unlimited.

Mason B (2004) The effect of adding supplementary writing to an extensive reading program. *The International Journal of Foreign Language Teaching (IJFLT)* 1(1): 2–16.

Smith K (2006) A comparison of 'pure' extensive reading with intensive reading and extensive reading with supplementary activities. *The International Journal of Foreign Language Teaching (IJFLT)* 2(2): 12–15.

Song J, Sardegna V (2014) EFL learners' incidental acquisition of English prepositions through enhanced extensive reading instruction. *RELC Journal* 45(1): 67–84.

Book Review

FLOWERDEW, John Discourse in English Langua (London: Routledge, 201)

Reviewed by: Ying Zhai Science and Technology,

This book provides a deapplications in language graduates, postgraduat language education. The general introduction a related to discourse stucomments.

Chapter 1 is the intr several terms, i.e. disce concludes with an outli the book. Chapter 2 int related to register. A sh cogrammatical specific ing. Chapters 3 and 4 involves how a text is achieved in language e in Chapter 4, the autho opment and the signifi focus on discourse in p ies how language is u (1989) concept of ind discusses the phenome an approach to spoker examples are presente standing of CA. Chapt of different recurrent s perspective of corpus corpus linguistics to 1 critical discourse ana